

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,323 10/28/2003		Louis P. Steinhauser	5269-000004/CPB	4859	
28997	7590 03/30/2005		EXAMINER		
HARNESS, DICKEY, & PIERCE, P.L.C			BASINGER, SHERMAN D		
ST. LOUIS,	MME, STE 400 4O 63105		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3617		

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s)		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)						
Examiner Sherman D. Basinger 3817 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFt 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - If the period for reply specified above is the subminity (30) days, a reply within the satisfact principle of the reply specified above. In the maximum statutory period will apply and will apply and will apply and will apple 18 (18) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply vell, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 113). - Any reply received by the Office lister than there mentions after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on March 8, 2005. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are objected to. 8) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 28 October 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(,			7					
Sherman D. Basinger 3817 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be wearible under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SW (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum studiory period will apply and will expire SW (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If the provision of the reply specified above, the maximum studiory period will apply and will expire SW (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any searned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on March 8, 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	Office Action Summary		,323	STEINHAUSER, L	OUIS P.					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. • Estentions of time may be writtle hundred the provious of 3 CPR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. • If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. • If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. • Failure to reply whith the set or standed period for reply with pick statutor, and a SANDONED GIA. (5, 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three matrix after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any searned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(a). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on March 8, 2005. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filled on 28 October 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abovance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowl			ner	Art Unit						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. • Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In ne event, however, may a reply be timely filed after Stz (6) MONTHS from the member of the incommunication. • If the period for reply specified above, the maining date of this communication. • If the period for reply specified above, the maining date of this communication. • If the period for reply specified above, the maining date of this communication. • Findure to reply within the set of extended period for reply with, by statute, causes the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). • Are reply within the set of extended period for reply with, by statute, causes the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). • Are reply within the set of extended period for reply with, by statute, causes the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). • Are reply within the set of extended period for reply with, by statute, causes the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). • Are reply within the set of extended period for reply with, by statute, causes the application become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). • Are reply within the set of extended period for reply with, by statute, causes the application become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 130). • Are reply within the set of extended period for reply with, by statute, causes the application become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13 U.S.C. § 119 (a) U.S.C. § 119			<u> </u>							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Estensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply septicide above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply his pecified above, the maximum statutory period will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the side of very devide poly statute, cause the application to become ABANDONEN. - Failure to reply within the side of the maximum statutory period will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the side of the maximum statutory period will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the side of the maximum statutory period will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any semice place that the substance of the maximum statutory period will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned place any replication is consideration is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The practice of the priority decided of the crawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.21(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th										
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on March 8, 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any									
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	Status	•								
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>March</i> 8, 200	<u>5</u> .							
Closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 28 October 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	·									
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	Disposition of Claims									
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 October 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	Application Papers									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
Attachment(s)	Attachment(c)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)			4) Interview Summary	y (PTO-413)	•					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1-Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal i	Date)-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/695,323 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis.

Davis discloses an outboard motor position responsive system comprising an ignition system including the ignition switch sensor 290, an outboard motor position sensor 220 in communication with the ignition system, a microprocessor 100 in communication with the outboard motor position sensor, an alarm 380 in communication with the microprocessor and an ignition disabling switch shown at the bottom of figure 1b.

Davis does not disclose:

wherein when an

operator attempts to start the ignition system when the outboard motor is tilted up beyond a maximum safe tilt position, the alarm is activated by the microprocessor to warn the operator;

wherein when an

operator attempts to start the

ignition system when the

outboard motor is tilted up beyond a maximum safe tilt position, the ignition disabling

switch is activated by the microprocessor to prevent the operator from starting the ignition system; and

wherein when

an operator attempts to start the ignition system when the outboard motor is tilted up beyond a maximum safe tilt position, the tilt circuit is activated by the microprocessor to automatically lower the outboard motor.

However because the microprocessor of Davis can easily be programmed to all of the above, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to do so. Motivation to do so is to protect the outboard motor engine from damage and to protect the boat operator or a passenger from the outboard motor propeller.

Davis also does not disclose:

wherein when an operator attempts to start the ignition system when the outboard motor is tilted up beyond a maximum safe tilt position, the alarm is activated by the outboard motor position sensor to warn the operator; wherein when an operator attempts to start the ignition system when the outboard motor is tilted up beyond a maximum safe tilt position, the ignition disabling switch is activated by the outboard motor position sensor to prevent the operator

from starting the ignition system; and

wherein when an operator attempts to start the ignition system when the outboard motor is tilted up beyond a maximum safe tilt position, the tilt circuit is activated by the outboard motor position sensor to automatically lower the outboard motor.

However, because the microprocessor of Davis can be programmed to have the outboard motor position sensor do all of the above, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to have it do so. Motivation, again, is to protect the outboard motor engine, the outboard motor propeller, the operator and any passenger.

Response to Arguments

- 3. The double patenting rejections set forth in paragraphs 5-8 of the office action mailed November 9, 2004 have been overcome by the filing of the terminal disclaimer on March 8, 2005.
- 4. In the response filed March 7, 2005, applicant argues under the subtitle "REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103" that claims 1-9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. j 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis (U.S. Pat. No. 5,142,473). This rejection is respectfully traversed. Davis cannot render Claims 1-9 obvious because, as the Examiner conceded in the Outstanding Office Action, Davis does not teach the limitation that when an operator attempts to start the ignition system when the outboard motor is tilted up beyond a maximum safe tilt position, the alarm (Claims 1 and 2), the ignition disabling switch (Claims 3 and 4), or the tilt circuit (Claims 5 and 6) is activated

Application/Control Number: 10/695,323

Art Unit: 3617

by the microprocessor to automatically lower the outboard motor. The mere disclosure of a microprocessor in a power boat in Davis does not suggest to a person of ordinary skill that the microprocessor should be or can be easily reprogrammed, as stated in the Office Action, to automatically lower the outboard motor when an operator attempts to start the ignition system when the outboard motor is titled up beyond a maximum safe tile position. Davis does not teach or disclose a system that warns or prevents an operator from starting the outboard engine when it is tilted beyond a maximum safe tilt position whatsoever. Rather, Davis teaches a system to achieve a more optimal trim position while the boat in underway for a smoother boat ride. As MPEP 2143 with the heading "Basic Requirements of a Prima Facie Case of Obviousness" admonishes, the motivation must be in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, not in Applicant's disclosure. Since the Outstanding Office Action attempts to prove such motivation by the mere assertion that "the motivation is to protect the outboard motor engine from damage and to protect the boat operator or a passenger from the outboard motor propeller," with no support from Davis or other references, the rejection is focused on hindsight and is improper. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejections of Claims 1-9 be withdrawn.

5. In rebuttal, applicant's attention is directed toward MPEP 2114. The last paragraph of each of claims 1-9 sets forth a function of the apparatus claimed. While features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of the structure rather than function. Davis discloses all of the claimed structure of each

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/695,323

Page 6

Art Unit: 3617

apparatus claim 1-9. In the last paragraph of each of claims 1-9, applicant defines the function of the apparatus. See *In re Schreiber*, 128 F.3d 1473, 44 USPQ 2d 1429.

- 6. A claim containing a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed, which the last paragraph in claims 1-9 do, does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claims, which Davis does. See Exparte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647.
- 7. In conclusion, Davis discloses all of the structure defined in apparatus claims 1-9. All that Davis does not disclose is the function of the apparatus as defined in claims 1-9. As pointed out above, apparatus claims must be structurally different from the prior art.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,323

Art Unit: 3617

2:00(after 4/11/05).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sherman D. Basinger whose telephone number is 703-308-1139. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:00-2:30 ET)/5:30-

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sherman D. Basinger Primary Examiner Page 7

Art Unit 3617

3/23/05